A New Trial on Incremental Forming of Sheet Metal Parts

The various needs of customers in the automotive industries have created a recent trend in metal parts production toward the small production of a variety of parts at lower cost and with a shorter delivery period. As a method to meet these needs, incremental forming is demanded and has been actively studied, in which the parts are formed by a series of local deformations using general-purpose tools, for forming the parts produced in a small quantity. The incremental forming technique includes, for example, moving cylindrical tools along the parts shape and pressing or hammering the sheet metal blank using general-purpose tools¹⁾⁻³⁾.

Topics

For automobile sheet metal parts, backward stretch forming would be the most suitable because it can easily form complicated shapes; however, it has difficulty in obtaining an accurate form and cracks may occur because of the significant decrease in sheet thickness in sections with a steep incline. To overcome the insufficient accuracy, a supporter method (Fig. 1) has been examined, by which forming of complicated and large panels such as quarter panels has become possible⁴⁾.

For further improving the accuracy and suppressing the crack generation in the supporter method, we have devised a multi-head revolving tool with a special design at the tip of a cylindrical tool (Fig. 2). By using this tool, an improvement in

Atsunobu Murata, Process Engineering Lab.

shape fixabilities and a reduction in crack generation have been confirmed (Table 1, Fig. 4).

As another forming method without using a supporter, we propose a counter tool method using a counter tool together with the multi-head revolving tool (Fig. 3). In this method the parts are formed by drawing, thus resulting in remarkable suppression of the thickness decrease (Fig. 5).

An outline of these methods is described below. In both methods, the contour line tool locus system using the NC milling machine is adopted for the forming.

(1) Supporter method: A multi-head revolving tool and a supporter in the shape of the parts are placed on either side of the blank, and the parts are formed by revolving the tool, with a clearance of thickness $+\alpha$ retained.

(2) Counter tool method: A round sheet metal blank is supported with its center fixed, and the multihead revolving tool and a counter tool placed on either side of the blank are used in combination to form the parts.

References

- 1) Matsubara, S. : J. Jpn. Soc. Tech. Plast., 35-406 (1994), 1258 (in Japanese)
- 2) Tanaka, S., et al. : Proc. Jpn. Spring Conf. Tech. Plast. (1992), 545 (in Japanese)
- Hasebe, T., et al. : Proc. 46th Jpn. Jt. Conf. Tech. 3) Plast., (1995), 39 (in Japanese)





Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of supporter method.

Fig. 2 Multi-head tool.



Multi-head tool

Counter wol

4) Matsuda, F., et al. : Proc. 49th Jpn. Jt. Conf. Tech. Plast., (1998), 141 (in Japanese)

Fig. 3 counter tool method.





50mm No breakage (a)Multi-head tool Breakage (b)Semi sphere tool

Fig. 4 Comparison of breakage in supporter method.

C	10mm
Average thickness of side wall(mm)	0.91
Blank thickness (mm)	0.99

A sample formed by counter Fig. 5 tool method and its thickness.

R&D Review of Toyota CRDL Vol. 34 No. 3 (1999.9) Copyright (C) 1999 Toyota Central R&D Labs., Inc.