

#### Abstract

In order to determine diesel fuel characteristics that might influence particulate matter (PM) emission, we have conducted a detailed investigation that combines combustion/exhaust emission measurements, in-cylinder observations, fuel analyses and chemical reactor experiments. A comparison between three representative diesel fuels, viz., "Base" (Japanese market fuel), "Improved" (lighter fuel with lower aromatics) and Swedish "Class-1" yielded the following results: (1) The amount of PM emission decreases in the order of "Base" > "Class-1" > "Improved". Unexpectedly enough, "Class-1" produces more PM than "Improved" despite its significantly lower distillation temperature, and lower aromatics and sulfur content. (2) There is little difference in the combustion characteristics of the

three fuels. (3) Only "Class-1" contains significant quantities of iso and naphthenic structures. (4) Flow reactor pyrolysis shows that "Class-1" produces the largest amount of PM precursors, such as benzene and toluene. These results suggest that the presence of branched and ring structures can increase exhaust PM emissions. This finding was confirmed by flowreactor and shock tube experiments using hexanes, which revealed that iso- and cycloparaffins produce more benzene and soot than n-paraffins do. The results obtained in this study indicate that the specific molecular structure of the paraffinic components needs to be considered as one of the diesel fuel properties closely related to PM formation.

Keywords

Diesel engine, Diesel fuel, Fuel properties, Hydrocarbon, Molecular structure, Emission, Particulate. Soot

#### 1. Introduction

The reduction of diesel exhaust emissions, especially nitrogen oxides (NOx) and particulate matter (PM), is an urgent problem to be tackled as the emission regulations become more and more stringent. Fuel sulfur levels have been lowered and the use of additives to maintain optimal engine performance has increased. There have been many studies of diesel fuel effects on exhaust emissions, especially on PM.<sup>1)</sup> The results suggest that reductions in sulfur, density, distillation temperatures and aromatic content (especially multi-ring aromatic content) lower PM emissions. However, fuel effects on the in-cylinder fuel-air mixture formation, combustion and chemical reaction processes remain largely unexamined. The ambiguity in previous studies makes it difficult to ascertain what fuel properties are the main, universal factors for PM reduction.

In this study, the fuel effects on not only exhaust emissions but also combustion characteristics and in-cylinder phenomena were carefully examined for three representative fuels. To account for the unexpected results obtained, the effect of the reactivity of chemical species in diesel fuel on PM formation was investigated.

2. Exhaust emissions and combustion characteristics of the representative diesel fuels<sup>2)</sup>

#### 2.1 Test engines and fuels

Two kinds of single-cylinder engines were used as shown in **Table 1**. Engine A was used for the evaluation of exhaust emissions and combustion characteristics based on pressure indicator analysis, and Engine B for in-cylinder observation.<sup>3)</sup> Both engines were equipped with an electronically controlled, common-rail type, high-pressure injection system.

The properties of the fuels are listed in **Table 2** and the distillation curves are shown in **Fig. 1**. Test fuel "Base" corresponds to the average market fuel in Japan. Test fuel "Improved" is the best fuel among those evaluated in a previous study.<sup>4)</sup> "Class-1" is a sample of Swedish class-1 fuel used in Europe.

Table 1 Engine specifications.

	Engine A	Engine B	
Туре	Single-Cylinder DI Diesel NA	Single-Cylinder DI Diesel SC, Optical Accessible	
Bore × Stroke	♦ 94 × 100 mm	\$ 102 × 110 mm	
Displacement	694 cc	899 cc	
Comp. Ratio	18.6	17.0	
Valve System	In.: 2, Ex.: 2	In.: Liner Ports Ex.: Side Valve	
Inj. System	Common-Rail System		
Inj. Nozzle	♦ 0.15 mm × 6, VCO	♦ 0.18 mm × 5, Mini Sac	

Table 2 Fuel p	properties.
----------------	-------------

		Base	Improved	Class-1
Density g/cc @15 °C		0.838	0.821	0.815
Cetane Number		53.0	53.1	51.6
Cetane Index (JIS)		58	59	54
Viscosit	ty cst @30 °C	3.546	2.989	2.390
tics ) vol.%	Total	21.9	13.9	3.9
	Mono-	18.8	9.8	3.6
PLC	Di-	1.9	3.4	0.3
Arc (H	Tri-	1.2	0.7	ND
Sulphur mass %		0.05	0.06	0.00045
H / C		1.87	1.93	1.97
QL kJ/kg		42,890	43,079	43,167



Fig. 1 Distillation curves.

#### 2.2 Exhaust emissions

Figure 2 shows the exhaust emissions and the injection and combustion characteristics for the three



Fig. 2 Comparison of exhaust emissions and combustion characteristics (Engine A).

test fuels obtained under the five representative operating conditions.<sup>2)</sup> The amount of PM from "Improved" and "Class-1" was significantly lower than that from "Base", as to be expected. However, the order of exhaust PM quantity was "Base" > "Class-1" > "Improved" under virtually all operating conditions. Surprisingly, "Class-1" generated more PM than "Improved" despite its lower distillation temperature, aromatics and sulfur content, and density compared with "Improved".

Both the mass-based fuel amounts and injection duration were virtually the same for the three fuels under all operating conditions. Ignition lag differences were very small as the cetane number of "Class-1" differs from those of the other two fuels by only about 1.5. In addition, the 50% and 90% combustion periods are almost the same.

From Fig. 2, it is clear that the three fuels do not differ much with respect to injection and macroscopic combustion characteristics. Thus, the differences in PM amount are considered to be attributable to differences in local in-cylinder phenomena and/or chemical reactions. To elucidate the causes, the in-cylinder mixture formation and combustion processes were investigated.

# 2. 3 Mixture formation and combustion processes

**Figure 3** shows the fuel-air mixture formation and flame development processes for the three fuels. "Improved" and "Class-1" exhibit the same incylinder trends. Thus, it is suspected that the difference in PM amount observed between the "Improved" and "Class-1" in the present study is mainly due to the difference in the chemical reactions that occur, which in turn is induced by the compositional difference between the two fuels.

### **3.** Analysis of fuel composition<sup>2)</sup>

#### **3.1** Fuel composition

Using a combination of Supercritical Fluid Chromatography, Mass Spectrometry and Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, the aromatic, naphthenic, and paraffinic contents of three fuels were determined. The results are shown in **Fig. 4**. The naphthene content in "Class-1" was found to be a minimum of 50 wt%, i.e., about twice the amount as in the other two fuels.



**Fig. 3** Mixture formation and flame development processes for each fuel. (Engine B, 1800rpm,  $\phi = 0.29$ , Pinj = 40MPa, IT = 0° BTDC)



Fig. 4 Contents of representative components.

### **3. 2** Branched structures in the saturated hydrocarbon portions

**Table 3** tabulates the major peaks in the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum<sup>2)</sup>. The letters "a", "b", "c" and "d" correspond to the straight-chain hydrocarbon portions of the fuel, while "e" denotes the branched structure number in the saturated hydrocarbon portions of the fuel. The percentage of the peak area of "e" for "Class-1" is greater than 30%, i.e., indicating a higher iso-paraffin, iso-alkyl and branched structure content within the saturated hydrocarbon portions as compared to "Base" and "Improved".

# 4. Effect of branched and ring paraffin structures on benzene and soot formation<sup>5)</sup>

The results in **Section 3** suggest that the PM emissions of the three fuels are probably influenced

by the chemical reactivity of the fuels. In particular, the hydrocarbons containing branched and/or ring structures in the fuels' paraffinic component, are considered to play an important role in PM

 Table 3 Results of <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum analysis.

	Peak Area %					
	Chem. Shift	a	b	c	d	e e
Sample 🔪	ppm	14	22	29	32	32 - 50
Base		7.7	9.5	27.7	6.7	20.7
Improved		9.0	11.2	31.6	8.3	17.7
Class-1		6.7	9.7	17.0	6.0	30.5
						•
Ex. Cha	miaal Shifta	in D	alra	Br	anched	Structure
EX. Clie		III PC		,		
OI	a", "b", "c",	"d" a	ind e			
a b	d c		с			
CH3- CH2	CH3- CH2- CH2- CH2 CH2-					
14 22	32 29		29			
е						
1	СНЗ СН2-СН3					
chis-ci		114-	ciij-ci	<u>112-CII-</u>	C112-C1	112-
39	CH3	ÇI	H3	4	1	
CH3-C- <u>C</u> H2-CH2-						
	CH3 44					



Fig. 5 Concentration of reaction products in the pyrolysis of diesel fuels.

formation. The present study focuses on the effect of the reactivity of chemical components having a branched or ring structure on PM formation.

#### 4.1 Pyrolysis products

Reaction products of the flow reactor<sup>5)</sup> pyrolysis of the three fuels used in engine tests were analyzed by gas chromatography. Figure 5 shows the concentrations of a few representative pyrolytic products, namely acetylene, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub> isomers (methylacetylene and propadiene), 1,3-butadiene, benzene and toluene. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) are thought to be a main precursor of PM formation.<sup>6)</sup> Acetylene,  $C_3H_4$  and 1, 3-butadiene would contribute to aromatic ring formation, while benzene and toluene would initiate polycyclic growth reactions. Thus, the reaction products in Fig. 5 can be considered to reflect the potential for PM formation for each fuel. "Class-1" generates 2 to 4 times more of these products compared with the other two fuels. It is important to note that "Class-1" forms significant amounts of aromatic products, such as benzene and toluene, despite its low initial aromatic content. This indicates that "Class-1" includes some chemical components that can potentially lead to substantial PAH and PM formation through chemical reactions.

# 4. 2 Effect of hexane isomers on aromatics formation

**Figures 6**(a) and (b) show benzene and toluene during pyrolysis and oxidation, respectively. Isoand cyclohexanes produce more benzene and toluene than n-hexanes. Also, 2, 2-dimethylbutane, which has a more branched structure than 2methylpentane, forms more benzene and toluene. These results again support the idea that branched and cyclic paraffins increase the amount of PM formation, and furthermore suggest that PM formation potential among iso-paraffins increases with the number of branches.

#### 4.3 Effect of hexane isomers on soot formation

Soot yields of isomeric hexanes were measured in the shock tube<sup>5)</sup> to examine the relation between soot formation and paraffinic molecular structure in the high temperature range corresponding to the incylinder flame zone.

The temperature dependence of the soot yield show a bell-shaped curve.<sup>5)</sup> All the hexanes exhibit

maximum soot production near 2000°C. The maximum soot yields in bell shape are compared in **Fig. 7**. It is found that, compared with n-hexane, 2-methylpentane produces ca. 1.9 times as much soot, 2, 2-dimethylbutane ca. 3.9 times, and cyclohexane ca. 4.2 times. The soot formation in the high temperature range can be affected by paraffin molecular structure in the same manner as PM precursor formation at medium temperatures: in both cases, the formation yield increases in the order of normal paraffin, 1-branched iso-paraffin, 2-branched iso-paraffin and cycloparaffin.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this study, we combine the research using chemical reactors with engine emission tests, exploring diesel fuel chemical characteristics that might influence PM emissions. The combustion and exhaust emission characteristics of three representative diesel fuels were compared using single cylinder D.I. diesel engines, and the chemical components of the fuels were analyzed. It was found that: (1) PM emissions decreased in the order of "Base" > "Class-1" > "Improved", suggesting that "Class-1" tested in this study unexpectedly produced more PM than "Improved" despite its significantly lower distillation temperature, and aromatics and sulfur content, (2) there was little difference in the combustion characteristics of the three fuels, and (3) only "Class-1" contained significant quantities of branched structures and naphthenes in the saturated hydrocarbon portion.

As a next step, the effect of the chemical reactivity of diesel fuel on PM formation was investigated.





Sample composition	Fuel	2.0 %
	O2	1.9 % (n-, iso-) 1.8 % (cyclo-)
	Ar	96.1 % (n-, iso-) 96.2 % (cyclo-)
Equivalence ratio		10
Pressure		1.0 - 1.4 atm
[C] <sub>0</sub>		$2.8  imes 10^{17}$ atoms/cc

[C]<sub>0</sub>: Initial carbon atom concentration in reflected shock zone

Soot yield = Total carbon number accumulated in soot Initial total carbon number in fuel in reflected shock zone



Fig. 7 Comparison of soot yield at bell peak for various hexanes.

The flow-reactor results showed that "Class-1" produced the largest amount of PM precursors, such as benzene and toluene, among the three fuels, despite its very low aromatics content. These results suggest that the presence of branched and ring structures can increase exhaust PM emissions. This finding was confirmed by flow reactor experiments with hexane isomers, which revealed that iso- and cycloparaffins produce more benzene than nparaffins. With branched hexane isomers, the benzene amount increased with the number of branches. The shock tube measurements of fuel-rich oxidation near 2000°C showed that the soot formation yield increases in the order of n-hexane, 2-methylpentane, 2, 2-dimethylbutane and cyclohexane.

The results obtained in this study indicate that the specific molecular structure of the paraffinic components should be considered as one of the diesel fuel properties closely related to PM formation.

#### References

- Ullman, T. L., et al. : SAE Tech. Pap. Ser., No.902171, (1990)
- Nakakita, K., et al. : SAE Tech. Pap. Ser., No.982494, (1998)
- 3) Nakakita, K., et.al. : JSAE Review, 12-1(1991), 18
- Fujimoto, Y., et al. : Proc. EAEC 5th Int. Congr., SIA 9506A05, (1995)
- 5) Takatori, Y., et al. : SAE Tech. Pap. Ser., No.982495, (1998)
- Frenklach, M. and Warnatz, J. : Combust. Sci. Tech., 51(1987) 265

(Report received on July 17, 2002)



### Kazuhiro Akihama

Year of birth : 1959 Division : Research-Domain 11 Research fields : Engine combustion Academic degree : Dr. Eng. Academic society : The Soc. of Autom. Eng. of Jpn., Combustion Soc. of Jpn., The Jpn. Soc. of Mech. Eng. Awards : Young engineer award, Combustion Soc. of Jpn., 1998. The outstanding tech. pap. award, The Soc. of Autom. Eng. of Jpn., 2000.



### Yoshiki Takatori

Year of birth : 1966 Division : Research-Domain 11

- Research fields : Combustion chemistry
- Academic degree : Ph. D. (Sc.)
  - Academic society : The Soc. of Autom. Eng. of Jpn., The Spectroscopical Soc. of Jpn., Jpn. Assoc. of Aerosol Sci. and Technol.
  - Awards : The outstanding tech. pap. award, The Soc. of Autom. Eng. of Jpn., 2000.



#### Kiyomi Nakakita

Year of birth : 1952 Division : Research-Domain 11 Research fields : Diesel engine combustion Academic degree : Dr. Eng. Academic society : The Soc. of Autom. Eng. of Jpn., The Jpn. Soc. of Mech. Eng., Combustion Soc. of Jpn., Inst. for Liq. Atomization and Spray Systems - Jpn. Awards : The outstanding tech. pap.

award, The Soc. of Autom. Eng. of Jpn., 1992 & 2000. Engine Systems Memorial Award, The Engine Systems Div., The Jpn. Soc. of Mech. Eng., 1996.